Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/828,270	POWERS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert Gerstl	1626
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Robert Gerstl.	(3) <u>W. Kezer</u> .	
(2)	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 September 2002.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed:		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <a href="mailto:paper 13 did have species election and paper 15 was not necessary and will be vacated . no action on part of att. needed">paper 13 did have species election and paper 15 was not necessary and will be vacated . no action on part of att. needed</a>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
i)⊠ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).		
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FOR MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	. (See MPEP Section 71: MONTH FROM THIS IN	3.04). If a reply to the last Office FERVIEW DATE TO FILE A
		<i>i</i>
		11/11
	/ >	, .
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's	signature, if required